



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,354	03/31/2004	Patrick Chiu	FXPL-1094US0	8294

23910	7590	10/03/2007
FLIESLER MEYER LLP		
650 CALIFORNIA STREET		
14TH FLOOR		
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108		

EXAMINER	
PARK, EDWARD	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2624	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
10/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/815,354	CHIU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edward Park	2624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Edward Park. (3) Brian Werner (SPE).
 (2) Anthony G Craig (Applicant's representative). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 September 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: Jun et al (US 2001/0020981 A1), Uchihasi ("Video Manga: Generating Semantically Meaningful Video Summaries", Li et al (US 2006/0023786 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative and examiner discussed prior art references in regards to claims 1 and 2. No agreement was reached in terms of claim limitations or interpretations of claims 1 and 2.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


BRIAN WERNER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required